

Twilight Los Angeles 1992

Twilight Los Angeles 1992

Downloaded from www.strongadvocates.com by Bryan & Jaida

INTRODUCTION: THE POWER OF THEATRE TO CAPTURE A CITY IN CHAOS

In the annals of American theatre, few works have managed to capture a moment of social upheaval with the raw authenticity and emotional depth of Anna Deavere Smith's **Twilight Los Angeles 1992**. More than a simple documentary play, it is a gripping mosaic of voices that emerged from the ashes of one of the most destructive civil disturbances in modern U.S. history. The work is built upon Smith’s unique method of interviewing hundreds of people and then performing their exact words, mannerisms, and intonations on stage. Through this technique, **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** does not offer a single narrative but instead presents a kaleidoscope of perspectives: from Korean shop owners to African American activists, from LAPD officers to Latino immigrants, and from Rodney King himself to the jurors who failed to convict his assailants. The result is a profound theatrical exploration of race, justice, and the fragile fabric of urban America.

To understand why this play remains so relevant today, it is essential to revisit the events that sparked its creation—and to examine how Smith’s artistic choices transformed raw interviews into a lasting cultural artifact. This article will delve into the background of the 1992 Los Angeles riots, the making of the play, its thematic core, its critical reception, and its enduring legacy in the ongoing conversation about systemic racism and police brutality.

The Spark: The Rodney King Verdict and the 1992 Los Angeles Riots

On April 29, 1992, a jury in Simi Valley, California, acquitted four Los Angeles Police Department officers—Stacey Koon, Laurence Powell, Timothy Wind, and Theodore Briseno—of using excessive force in the videotaped beating of Rodney King. The footage, captured by a bystander and broadcast across the world, showed King being struck more than fifty times by batons while he lay on the ground. The verdict came as a profound shock to many, especially within the African American community, where the video had been seen as irrefutable evidence of police brutality. Within hours of the announcement, South Central Los Angeles erupted.

The ensuing six days of rioting, looting, arson, and murder left 63 people dead, more than 2,300 injured, and over 12,000 arrested. Property damage estimates exceeded one billion dollars. The violence was not merely random; it exposed deep-seated grievances over economic inequality, racial profiling, police misconduct, and the neglect of inner-city neighborhoods. Korean American businesses, heavily concentrated in South Central, were disproportionately targeted. The riots became a terrifying symbol of the fractured relationships between the city’s many communities.

In the aftermath, as the smoke cleared and the nation tried to make sense of the catastrophe, Anna Deavere Smith—an actress, playwright, and professor—saw a story that could not be told by a single voice. She flew to Los Angeles and began a series of interviews that would eventually number over two hundred. Her goal was not to judge but to listen. She recorded people from every walk of life whose lives had intersected with the unrest. From these interviews, she crafted a script that she would perform alone, transforming herself into more than forty different characters in the span of an evening. The result was **Twilight Los Angeles 1992**, which premiered at the Mark Taper Forum in Los Angeles in 1993 and later moved to Broadway, earning critical acclaim.

Anna Deavere Smith’s Documentary Theatre Method

The genius of **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** lies not only in the selection of voices but in Smith’s rigorous commitment to verbatim performance. She described her technique as “documentary theatre” or “verbatim theatre,” and she often calls herself a “human tape recorder.” She memorizes every stammer, pause, accent, and facial expression of her subjects. This approach creates an almost uncanny experience for the audience, who witness a single actor embodying a Korean shopkeeper, a former gang member, a disillusioned police officer, and a Latina mother within the same performance.

Smith has explained that she chose this method because she believes that the way people speak—their rhythms, their word choices, their silences—reveals character and ideology more honestly than any conventional script. By refusing to editorialize or impose a linear plot, she allows the audience to sit with the contradictions of the event. We hear the anger of a young black man who feels the justice system has failed him; we hear the grief of a Korean immigrant whose store was burned to the ground; we hear the defensive logic of a police officer who insists his actions were legal. There is no narrator to reconcile these perspectives. The audience must do that work themselves.

This theatrical form was groundbreaking in the early 1990s and has since influenced a generation of playwrights and performers. Works like “The Laramie Project” by Moisés Kaufman and the Tectonic Theater Project borrowed heavily from Smith’s model. Her method remains a powerful tool for addressing complex, contentious social issues because it grants dignity and complexity to every side of a story.

Key Voices in Twilight Los Angeles 1992

To appreciate the scope of **Twilight Los Angeles 1992**, it helps to consider a few of the most memorable characters Smith portrays on stage:

- **Rodney King** – Smith captures King’s quiet, bewildered, and deeply human voice. In one of the play’s most iconic moments, she delivers his plea: “Can we all get along?” It is a simple question that cuts through the noise of the riots and reaches for a universal longing for peace.
- **Twilight Bey** – A former gang member who gave the play its title. Bey reflects on the “twilight” hour between day and night as a metaphor for the moment when tensions rise and violence often erupts. His language is poetic and haunting, describing a liminal space that mirrors the state of Los Angeles itself.
- **Daryl Gates** – The embattled LAPD chief who resigned during the riots. Smith’s portrayal of Gates shows a man defiant, bureaucratic, and ultimately out of touch with the communities his officers policed.
- **Ki-Soo Suh** – A Korean American woman who speaks about the trauma of watching her family’s store burn while police stayed away. Her testimony highlights the ethnic tensions that the riots exposed between Korean merchants and African American residents.
- **Sergeant Koon** – One of the officers acquitted. Smith gives him a startling level of calm and rationalization, challenging the audience to understand, if not condone, his mindset.

Each of these characters receives roughly the same stage time, underscoring Smith’s conviction that a single event like the Los Angeles riots cannot be reduced to heroes and villains.

Themes That Resonate: Race, Justice, and the Urban Divide

At its heart, **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** is about the failure of communication across racial and economic lines. The title itself—evoking the dusk when identities become blurred and danger rises—suggests a city caught in a perpetual state of not-quite-day, not-quite-night. Smith does not offer solutions, but she does something perhaps more valuable: she forces her audience to hear voices they might otherwise ignore.

The play also explores the limitations of the legal system. The verdict that sparked the riots was seen by many as a betrayal of justice. Smith captures the sense of powerlessness that many people of color felt—the belief that the courts would never hold police accountable. Yet she also includes voices that defend the jury’s decision, revealing how deeply entrenched racial biases and fears of crime can shape perceptions of guilt and innocence.

Another theme is the economics of the inner city. Several characters describe the struggles of running small businesses in South Central, the flight of jobs, and the systemic disinvestment that left neighborhoods vulnerable. The Korean American shopkeepers, in particular, speak of their own immigrant dreams and the tragic irony of being targeted by the very community they served. Smith does not flatten these experiences; she allows them to exist in painful tension.

Critical Reception and Impact

When **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** premiered, critics were effusive in their praise. The New York Times described Smith’s performance as “a breathtaking act of empathy.” The play won numerous awards, including a Drama Desk Award for Outstanding One-Person Show and a Tony nomination. It also sparked important conversations about the role of theatre in political discourse. Some scholars have criticized the play for giving too much voice to perpetrators of violence or for not explicitly condemning racism. However, Smith has defended her parity as a form of radical truth-telling: if we want to understand how a city can burn, she argues, we must listen to all the people who set fire to it—and to those who stood by.

The play has been revived several times, most notably in 2019 and 2022, often in conjunction with the anniversaries of the riots. Each revival has taken on fresh urgency as police killings of Black men and women continue to ignite protests across the United States. In the wake of the murder of George Floyd in 2020, audiences returned to **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** with renewed appreciation for its insights. The play’s exploration of the deep chasm between law enforcement and the communities they serve felt as timely as ever.

Relevance to Contemporary Social Movements

The years since the 1992 riots have seen an explosion of movements such as Black Lives Matter, which challenges police violence and systemic racism. The similarities between 1992 and 2020 are striking: both were sparked by a failure of the justice system to hold police accountable; both involved widespread civil unrest; both prompted intense national soul-searching about race. Yet there are differences, too. In 1992, social media did not exist; the news cycle was slower; the conversation was dominated by traditional media. Today, activist networks organize globally in real time, and video evidence of police brutality is ubiquitous.

What remains constant is the need for spaces where uncomfortable truths can be spoken. **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** provides such a space. It offers no simple villains or heroes, only complex humans caught in a system that dehumanizes them. By giving voice to the voiceless and the powerful alike, Smith’s work reminds us that understanding an event like the Los Angeles riots requires patience, humility, and a willingness to sit with contradiction.

The Legacy of a Masterpiece

More than three decades after the fires of April 1992, **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** remains a cornerstone of American drama. It is studied in high schools and universities, performed by actors seeking to hone their craft, and cited by social scientists examining urban unrest. Its title has become shorthand for the fragile, tense period after a shocking verdict when a city holds its breath before exploding. Anna Deavere Smith’s contribution to theatre is not just technical; it is moral. She demands that we listen before we judge.

For anyone interested in the intersection of art and social justice, this play is essential. It challenges the myth that a single story can explain a

complex event. Instead, it offers a chorus of voices—discordant, pain-filled, sometimes hopeful—that together paint a portrait of a city at war with itself.

CONCLUSION: WHY “TWILIGHT LOS ANGELES 1992” ENDURES

In a time when political polarization makes genuine dialogue increasingly rare, Anna Deavere Smith’s **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** stands as a testament to the power of listening. It asks nothing more and nothing less than that we inhabit the perspectives of others, if only for a few hours in a theatre. The play does not resolve the tensions it presents, but it illuminates them with such clarity and humanity that we leave with a deeper understanding of the forces that shape our cities and our country.

The riots of 1992 were not an isolated event; they were the eruption of long-suppressed pressures—racial, economic, and political. **Twilight Los Angeles 1992** captures that pressure and gives it a voice. It remains a vital work for anyone who seeks to comprehend the cyclical nature of injustice and unrest in America. As long as police violence, economic inequality, and racial division persist, this play will remain relevant—a twilight hour that we must continue to endure, and perhaps, one day, transcend.