

Dell Hymes And The Ethnography Of Communication

Dell Hymes And The Ethnography Of Communication

Downloaded from www.strongadvocates.com by Selah & Albert

INTRODUCTION: THE FOUNDATIONS OF COMMUNICATIVE UNDERSTANDING

Language is more than words, grammar, and syntax. It is a living, breathing social phenomenon shaped by culture, context, and human interaction. Few scholars have illuminated this reality as profoundly as **Dell Hymes**, whose pioneering work laid the groundwork for the **ethnography of communication**. In an era when linguistics often focused on abstract structures, Hymes redirected attention to the actual use of language within communities. His approach, now a cornerstone of sociolinguistics, anthropology, and communication studies, provides a holistic lens for understanding how people communicate, why they choose certain forms, and what cultural rules govern their exchanges. This article explores the life, theories, and lasting impact of Dell Hymes and the ethnography of communication, offering a comprehensive guide to one of the most influential frameworks in the study of human interaction.

WHO WAS DELL HYMES?

Dell Hathaway Hymes (1927–2009) was an American linguist, sociolinguist, anthropologist, and folklorist. Born in Portland, Oregon, he earned his bachelor’s degree at Reed College and later completed his PhD at Indiana University under the mentorship of Kenneth Pike and others. Hymes’ intellectual journey was marked by a deep dissatisfaction with the dominant linguistic theories of his time—particularly the generative grammar approach advanced by Noam Chomsky. While Chomsky focused on the idealized competence of an imaginary speaker-listener in a homogeneous speech community, Hymes argued that real-world language use demanded a broader, more contextualized perspective. This conviction led him to develop what he called the **ethnography of communication** (sometimes referred to as the **ethnography of speaking**).

Hymes spent much of his career at the University of Pennsylvania and later at the University of Virginia. He served as president of the American Anthropological Association, the Linguistic Society of America, and the American Folklore Society, reflecting the interdisciplinary nature of his work. His seminal 1974 book, *Foundations in Sociolinguistics: An Ethnographic Approach*, remains a foundational text for researchers exploring the intersection of language, culture, and society.

WHAT IS THE ETHNOGRAPHY OF COMMUNICATION?

The **ethnography of communication** is a framework for analyzing patterns of communication within specific cultural and social settings. Unlike traditional linguistic analysis, which might treat a sentence in isolation, this approach examines communicative events as holistic, situated activities. It asks questions such as: Who speaks to whom? In what settings? For what purposes? Using what channels? With what norms of interaction? The goal is to uncover the shared communicative competence that enables members of a community to produce and interpret socially appropriate utterances.

At its heart, the ethnography of communication insists that language cannot be separated from its cultural context. **Communicative competence**—a term Hymes coined—goes beyond grammatical knowledge to include social rules, cultural expectations, and strategic choices. For example, knowing how to greet an elder in a traditional West African village requires not just vocabulary but also knowledge of posture, eye contact, and timing. The ethnography of communication captures these nuances through detailed, naturalistic observation.

THE SPEAKING MODEL: A PRACTICAL TOOL

One of Hymes’ most enduring contributions is the **SPEAKING model**, an acronym that breaks down the components of any communicative event. This mnemonic device is widely used by scholars, educators, and practitioners to systematically describe and compare communication across cultures. Each letter stands for a key dimension.

S – Setting and Scene

Setting refers to the physical location of the interaction (a classroom, a marketplace, a living room). **Scene** refers to the psychological or cultural context—whether the event is formal, casual, sacred, or playful. For instance, a courtroom hearing (setting) may have a formal, tense scene, while a birthday party (setting) may have a joyful, relaxed scene.

P – Participants

Who is involved? This includes not only the speaker and hearer but also overhearers, bystanders, and any other individuals who influence the interaction. In many cultures, the presence of a respected elder can dramatically alter speech patterns. Hymes emphasized that participants have different roles, statuses, and relationships, all of which affect communication.

E – Ends

Ends refer to the purposes and goals of the communication event. These can be both intended outcomes (e.g., persuading someone to vote) and unintended functions (e.g., reinforcing group solidarity). A casual chat among friends may have the explicit end of sharing news, but also the implicit end of maintaining social bonds.

A – Act Sequence

Act sequence deals with the order of speech acts and the form of messages. In some cultures, a formal greeting must precede any request. In others, storytelling follows a strict pattern of call-and-response. Act sequence also covers the linguistic structure—how sentences are constructed, whether repetition is valued, and how turn-taking is managed.

K – Key

Key describes the tone, mood, or manner of the communication. A key can be serious, sarcastic, joyful, ironic, or ritualistic. The same words can have entirely different meanings depending on the key. For example, a teacher’s comment “You really studied hard” can be sincere praise or subtle sarcasm, recognized by the class through tone and context.

I – Instrumentalities

This covers the **channel** and **code** of communication. Channel refers to the medium—spoken, written, sign language, email, or even gestures. Code refers to the specific language or dialect (e.g., regional French versus standard French, or formal English versus slang). Bilingual communities often switch codes deliberately to signal identity or social distance.

N – Norms

Norms of interaction and interpretation govern how communication is conducted. These are the unwritten rules: when to interrupt, how loudly to speak, whether to use direct or indirect language. Norms of interpretation help participants decode meaning—for instance, in many East Asian cultures, silence can indicate respect or deep thought, not lack of engagement.

G – Genre

Finally, **genre** refers to the category of communicative event, such as a sermon, a joke, a lecture, a poem, or a casual conversation. Many cultures have highly specific genres with prescribed structures and styles. The ethnography of communication pays close attention to how genres are recognized and performed.

The SPEAKING model is not a rigid checklist but a flexible heuristic. It encourages researchers to observe, describe, and compare communication events in their full complexity.

KEY CONCEPTS IN THE ETHNOGRAPHY OF COMMUNICATION

Beyond the SPEAKING model, Hymes introduced several other foundational ideas that continue to shape modern sociolinguistics and applied linguistics.

Speech Community

A **speech community** is not simply a group of people who speak the same language. Rather, it is a group that shares norms for the use of language. Members of a speech community understand what is appropriate to say, when, and how. A city’s residents might all speak English, but an urban speech community may differ from a rural one in terms of slang, turn-taking rules, and politeness strategies. Hymes stressed that speech communities are defined by communicative practices, not just grammatical patterns.

Communicative Competence

Hymes introduced **communicative competence** as a challenge to Chomsky’s narrow concept of **linguistic competence**. Where Chomsky focused on abstract knowledge of grammar, Hymes argued that real competence includes knowing when to speak, when to remain silent, which register to use, and how to navigate social relationships through language. This idea revolutionized language teaching, prompting a shift from grammar-translation methods to communicative language teaching (CLT), which prioritizes real-world interaction.

Ethnographic Methodology

To study communication in context, Hymes advocated for **ethnographic methods**: participant observation, interviews, recording of natural speech, and detailed field notes. Unlike experimental studies that control variables, ethnography aims to capture communication as it naturally occurs. This methodological commitment gave the field its name and set it apart from purely quantitative approaches.

APPLICATIONS OF THE ETHNOGRAPHY OF COMMUNICATION

The principles of Dell Hymes and the ethnography of communication have been applied across a wide range of disciplines. Below are some of the most significant areas.

Education and Second Language Teaching

In the classroom, understanding communicative competence helps teachers design curricula that prepare students for authentic interactions. Instead of drilling isolated vocabulary, educators now introduce role-plays, group projects, and culturally appropriate scenarios. For example, a teacher of English as a second language might explain that Americans often use small talk before discussing business, a cultural norm that is essential for effective communication.

Moreover, Hymes’ ideas have influenced **critical pedagogy**, encouraging educators to examine how language norms can privilege certain groups and marginalize others. Recognizing diverse communicative styles can foster more inclusive classrooms.

Intercultural Communication

Businesspeople, diplomats, and healthcare providers regularly encounter cross-cultural misunderstandings rooted in different communication norms. The ethnography of communication provides tools for analyzing these differences. For instance, in some cultures, direct eye contact is a sign of honesty; in others, it is a sign of aggression. By applying the SPEAKING model, professionals can anticipate potential friction and adapt their behavior.

Sociolinguistics and Discourse Analysis

The legacy of Hymes is deeply embedded in modern sociolinguistics. Scholars of **language and social interaction** draw on his framework to study everything from courtroom interrogations to doctor-patient consultations. His emphasis on context has also informed **critical discourse analysis**, which examines how power and ideology are expressed through language practices.

Folklore and Performance Studies

Hymes had a lifelong interest in folklore and oral tradition. He analyzed Native American narratives not as texts but as performances with specific rules, rhythms, and audience participation. This approach revitalized the study of oral poetry and storytelling, highlighting the artistry within everyday speech.

CRITIQUES AND EVOLVING PERSPECTIVES

Like all influential frameworks, the ethnography of communication has faced critiques. Some scholars argue that Hymes’ model is too descriptive and lacks explanatory power. Others contend that it can become overly detailed, making it difficult to generalize findings across communities. Additionally, early ethnographies sometimes assumed a static view of culture, overlooking how global migration, digital media, and multilingualism constantly reshape communicative practices.

Nevertheless, the core insights of Dell Hymes remain resilient. Contemporary adaptations incorporate **multi-modal communication** (text, image, video) and **transnational speech communities**. The ethnography of communication has evolved into a vibrant, interdisciplinary field that continues to uncover how language both reflects and constructs social reality.

CONCLUSION

Dell Hymes transformed the study of language by insisting that we cannot understand communication without understanding its cultural and social embedding. The ethnography of communication, with its focus on **communicative competence** and the **SPEAKING model**, provides an enduring toolkit for analyzing the rich tapestry of human interaction. From education to international relations, from folklore to digital discourse, his ideas help us see that every act of speaking is a performance shaped by history, identity, and shared norms. As the world becomes more interconnected, Hymes’ call to examine language in context has never been more relevant. In learning how others communicate, we learn not just their words but their worlds.